

Geometry of vector bundle extensions and applications to the generalised theta divisor

George H. Hitching

February 2, 2008

Abstract

Let E and F be vector bundles over a smooth curve X , and suppose that $0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow W \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$ is a nontrivial extension. Consider a vector bundle map $\gamma: G \rightarrow F$ which is generically injective. We give a criterion for γ to factorise via W , in terms of the geometry of a projective bundle in the extension space $\mathbb{P}H^1(X, \text{Hom}(F, E))$. We use this to describe the tangent cone to the generalised theta divisor on the moduli space of semistable bundles of rank r and slope $g - 1$ over X , at a stable point. This gives a generalisation of a case of the Riemann–Kempf singularity theorem for line bundles over X . In the same vein, we give a generalisation of the geometric Riemann–Roch theorem to vector bundles of arbitrary rank.

1 Introduction

Let X be a complex projective smooth curve of genus $g \geq 2$ and let E and F be vector bundles over X . It is well known that isomorphism classes of extensions

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow W \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}$$

are parametrised by the cohomology group $H^1(X, \text{Hom}(F, E))$, the zero element corresponding to the trivial extension $F \oplus E$. These spaces have been much investigated and used in many contexts. They can be used to cover moduli spaces of such bundles (see Narasimhan–Ramanan [18], also [7]), giving a useful tool for the analysis thereof (see for example Pauly [20]). Moreover, they occur naturally as tangent spaces at smooth points of these moduli spaces, and we will say more about this later. And they have been used in coding theory by

Johnsen [8], Coles [2] and others.

The central point of the present paper is the following. Let W be a nontrivial extension of F by E and suppose $\gamma: G \rightarrow F$ is a vector bundle map (not necessarily of constant rank). It is often of interest to know when γ factorises via a map $G \rightarrow W$. For this, one has the following result of Narasimhan and Ramanan:

Criterion 1 *Let W be an extension of F by E , and let $\gamma: G \rightarrow F$ be a vector bundle map. Then γ factorises via a map $G \rightarrow W$ if and only if the class $\delta(W)$ of the extension belongs to the kernel of the map*

$$\gamma^*: H^1(X, \text{Hom}(F, E)) \rightarrow H^1(X, \text{Hom}(G, E)).$$

induced by γ .

Proof

Narasimhan–Ramanan [16], section 3.

Since nontrivial extensions with proportional extension classes are isomorphic as vector bundles, we lose little by working with the projective space¹ $\mathbb{P}H^1(X, \text{Hom}(F, E))$ (to avoid trivial cases, we suppose $h^1(X, \text{Hom}(F, E)) \geq 1$). We restrict to the case where γ corresponds to an injection of sheaves, that is, a vector bundle map which is injective at most or all points of the curve.

When E and F are line bundles, then in particular G is of the form $F(-D)$ for some divisor D on X . In this case, Lange and Narasimhan in [12] found a *geometric* interpretation of the lifting of $\gamma: G \rightarrow F$ to an extension W of type (1), in terms of secants to the natural image of the curve in $|K_X \otimes F \otimes E^{-1}|^*$. We would like to find an analogous interpretation of this lifting when E , F and G may have higher rank.

Here is a summary of the paper. In §2, we consider an arbitrary vector bundle $V \rightarrow X$. We describe a map $\psi: \mathbb{P}V \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^1(X, V)$ and give conditions for it to be an embedding (Corollary 3). We discuss osculating spaces of the image, and some of their degenerations.

In §3, we give a geometric criterion (Theorem 4) for the lifting of a sheaf injection $\gamma: G \rightarrow F$ to an extension W of type (1). This is given in terms of the image of the above-mentioned map ψ when $V = \text{Hom}(F, E)$.

In §4, we recall the connection of these extensions with principal parts. This allows us to interpret the failure of ψ to be an embedding in terms of the behaviour of the extensions.

¹If Λ is a vector space, we denote $\mathbb{P}\Lambda$ the space of lines through the origin in Λ .

In §5, we apply the criterion of §3 to the study of generalised theta divisors. We consider the moduli space $\mathcal{U}(r, r(g-1))$ of semistable bundles of rank $r \geq 1$ slope $g-1$ over X . This has a natural divisor Δ whose support consists of bundles with sections. At a smooth (equivalently, stable) point E of $\mathcal{U}(r, r(g-1))$, the tangent space is naturally isomorphic to $H^1(X, \text{End}(E))$, which is none other than the space of extensions $0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$. We use Theorem 4 to give a description of the projectivised tangent cone to Δ at E (Theorem 14), which generalises a case of the Riemann–Kempf singularity theorem (see Griffiths–Harris [3], chapter 2). We use several results from Laszlo [14].

From Theorem 4 we also deduce a generalisation of the geometric Riemann–Roch theorem (Theorem 15), relating the number of sections of a vector bundle E of rank r and slope $g-1$ to the codimension of the linear span of a certain variety in projective space. This result holds even if E is not semistable.

Acknowledgements

I thank H.-C. von Bothmer, C. Erdenberger, K. Hulek, A. Iliev, T. Johnsen, C. Pauly, R. Piene, K. Ranestad and A. B. Sletsjøe for many enjoyable and valuable discussions. I am grateful to the Universities of Hannover and Oslo for financial support and hospitality. I acknowledge gratefully the financial support of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Schwerpunktprogramm “Globale Methoden in der Komplexen Geometrie” and the Norges Forskningsråd “Strategisk Universitetsprogram i Ren Matematikk”.

2 Projective bundles over the curve

Let $V \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle of rank $r \geq 1$. We describe a rational map of the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}V \rightarrow X$ into the projective space $\mathbb{P}H^1(X, V)$. This generalises an approach from Kempf–Schreyer [11], section 1, also used in [7].

Notation: We write $\mathcal{O}_X$ and K_X for the trivial and canonical line bundles over X , respectively. The sheaf of sections of a vector bundle E , F , $\mathcal{O}_X$, K_X etc. will be denoted by the corresponding script letter $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{O}_X$, $\mathcal{K}_X$ etc. If Λ is a vector space and $v \in \Lambda$ a nonzero vector, we write $\langle v \rangle$ for the point of $\mathbb{P}\Lambda$ defined by v . We also use this notation for points of projectivised vector bundles.

2.1 Definition of the map

A vector bundle $V \rightarrow X$ gives rise to an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Rat}}(V) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Prin}}(V) \rightarrow 0$$

of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules, where $\underline{\text{Rat}}(V)$ is the sheaf of rational sections of V and $\underline{\text{Prin}}(V)$ that of principal parts with values in V . We denote their groups of global sections by $\text{Rat}(V)$ and $\text{Prin}(V)$ respectively. Now $\underline{\text{Rat}}(V)$ and $\underline{\text{Prin}}(V)$ are flasque, so we have the cohomology sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, V) \rightarrow \text{Rat}(V) \rightarrow \text{Prin}(V) \rightarrow H^1(X, V) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2)$$

We denote $\bar{s}$ the principal part of $s \in \text{Rat}(V)$, and we write $[p]$ for the class in $H^1(X, V)$ of $p \in \text{Prin}(V)$.

For a divisor D on X , we write $V(D)$ for the bundle $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D)$. Let x be a point of X . We consider the exact sheaf sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(x) \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{V}(x)}{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow 0,$$

whose cohomology sequence is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(X, V) \rightarrow H^0(X, V(x)) \rightarrow V(x)|_x \\ \rightarrow H^1(X, V) \rightarrow H^1(X, V(x)) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Note that $V(x)|_x$ can be identified with the set of V -valued principal parts supported at x with at most a simple pole. The projectivised coboundary map of (3) gives rise to a linear map $\psi_x: \mathbb{P}(V|_x) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^1(X, V)$. We define a map $\psi: \mathbb{P}V \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^1(X, V)$ by taking the product of the ψ_x over all $x \in X$.

Now recall that by Serre duality, we have an isomorphism

$$H^1(X, V) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(X, K_X \otimes V^*)^*.$$

This can be realised explicitly as follows. Let $p \in \text{Prin}(V)$ be a principal part with values in V , and $[p]$ its cohomology class; by (2), every element of $H^1(X, V)$ is of this form. Then for any $s \in H^0(X, K_X \otimes V^*)$, the contraction $p(s)$ is a K_X -valued principal part whose cohomology class $[p(s)]$ belongs to $H^1(X, K_X) = \mathbb{C}$. The association

$$[p] \mapsto (s \mapsto [p(s)]) \quad (4)$$

defines the required map $H^1(X, V) \rightarrow H^0(X, K_X \otimes V^*)^*$; it is easy to check that this depends only on the cohomology class $[p]$.

Now write $\pi: \mathbb{P}V \rightarrow X$ for the projection. By the projection formula and the definition of direct image, we have an identification

$$H^0(X, K_X \otimes V^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(\mathbb{P}V, \pi^* K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V}(1)).$$

Henceforth we write Υ for the line bundle $\pi^* K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V}(1)$.

We will need to be able to pass explicitly between sections of $\Upsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V$ and those of $K_X \otimes V^* \rightarrow X$. Since K_X and V are locally trivial over X , the bundle $\mathbb{P}V$ is locally a product of an open set $U \subseteq X$ with a projective space $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. Let z be a local analytic coordinate on U . A section of Υ over $\mathbb{P}V|_U \cong U \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is then of the form

$$\pi^* dz \otimes (p^* F_0 + \pi^* z \cdot p^* F_1 + \pi^* z^2 \cdot p^* F_2 + \cdots) \quad (5)$$

where $p: U \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is the projection, and F_i are linear forms on $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. In what follows, we will drop the π^* and p^* . This clearly gives a section of $K_X \otimes V^*$ over U . In a similar way, a section of $K_X \otimes V^*$ over U can be interpreted as a section of Υ over $\mathbb{P}V|_U$.

Proposition 2 *Under the above identifications, ψ coincides with the standard map $\phi_\Upsilon: \mathbb{P}V \dashrightarrow |\Upsilon|^*$.*

Proof

Choose $x \in X$ and let U be a neighbourhood of x with local coordinate z . Let $v \in V|_x$ be nonzero. We show that any section s of Υ vanishing at $\langle v \rangle \in \mathbb{P}V|_x$ belongs to the kernel of the linear form defined as above on $H^0(\mathbb{P}V, \Upsilon)$ by the cohomology class of the principal part

$$p := \frac{v}{z},$$

which lies over $\psi\langle v \rangle$.

We have seen that on $\mathbb{P}V|_U$, the section s is of the form (5). Since $s\langle v \rangle = 0$, the linear form F_0 vanishes on the element $v \in V|_x$. Since p is supported only at x and has only a simple pole, the contraction $p(s) \in \text{Prin}(K_X)$ is regular. In particular, the cohomology class $[p(s)]$ is zero.

Conversely, let t be a global section of Υ not vanishing at $\langle v \rangle$. Then the contraction $p(t)$ is a K_X -valued principal part supported at x with a simple pole. It is not hard to check that no global rational section of K_X has such a principal part, so the class $[p(t)] \in H^1(X, K_X)$ is nonzero.

This shows that $\text{Ker}[p]$ is exactly the set of sections of Υ vanishing at $\langle v \rangle$. The lemma follows. $\square$

Corollary 3 *Recall $r = \text{rk}(V)$. The map ψ has the following properties:*

- (i) ψ is linear on each fibre of $\mathbb{P}V$.
- (ii) ψ is defined everywhere if and only if for all $x \in X$, we have

$$h^0(X, K_X(-x) \otimes V^*) = h^0(X, K_X \otimes V^*) - r.$$

- (iii) ψ is injective if and only if for all distinct $x, y \in X$, we have

$$h^0(X, K_X(-x-y) \otimes V^*) = h^0(X, K_X \otimes V^*) - 2r.$$

- (iv) The differential of ψ is everywhere injective if and only if for all $x \in X$, we have

$$h^0(X, K_X(-2x) \otimes V^*) = h^0(X, K_X \otimes V^*) - 2r.$$

Proof

(i) and (ii) follow from the sequence (3) and Serre duality. Point (ii) is also equivalent to ψ being injective on each fibre. For (iii), consider the following exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(X, V) \rightarrow H^0(X, V(x+y)) \rightarrow V(x)|_x \oplus V(y)|_y \\ \rightarrow H^1(X, V) \rightarrow H^1(X, V(x+y)) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, ψ is injective if and only if for each pair x, y , the coboundary map in this sequence is injective, which is equivalent to

$$h^1(X, V) = h^1(X, V(x+y)) - 2r.$$

By Serre duality, this is equivalent to the required condition.

Now for (iv). Abusing notation slightly, we write

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}V, \Upsilon - k\langle v_1 \rangle)$$

for the space of global sections of Υ which vanish to order at least k at $\langle v_1 \rangle$. Let z be a local coordinate on X at x . Complete v_1 to a basis $v_1, \dots, v_r$ of $V|_x$ and let $v_1^*, \dots, v_r^*$ be the dual basis of $V^*|_x$. As above, near $\langle v_1 \rangle$ a section s of Υ is of the form

$$dz \otimes (F_0 + zF_1 + z^2F_2 + \dots)$$

where each F_k is a linear combination of the v_i^* . The section s vanishes at $\langle v_1 \rangle$ if and only if the coefficient of v_1^* is zero in F_0 , and ψ fails to separate tangent vectors at $\langle v_1 \rangle$ if and only if some nonzero tangent vector defines the zero linear form on $H^0(\mathbb{P}V, \Upsilon)$. This is the case if and only if there is a relation on the coefficients of the v_i^* and that of zv_1^* as s runs through the space of global sections of Υ vanishing at

$\langle v_1 \rangle$. It is not hard to check that this is equivalent to the linear forms on the space $H^0(\mathbb{P}V, \Upsilon) = H^0(X, K_X \otimes V^*)$ defined by the principal parts

$$\frac{v_1}{z}, \dots, \frac{v_r}{z} \text{ and } \frac{v_1}{z^2}$$

being dependent. Thus, in the cohomology sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(X, V) \rightarrow H^0(X, V(2x)) \rightarrow V(2x)|_{2x} \\ \rightarrow H^1(X, V) \rightarrow H^1(X, V(2x)) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

the coboundary map is not injective. But this is the case if and only if $h^1(X, V(2x)) > h^1(X, V) - 2r$. Again by Serre duality, we obtain the required condition. $\square$

Remark: Let $L \rightarrow X$ be a line bundle. If we identify X with $\mathbb{P}K_X L^{-1}$ then ψ coincides with the standard map $\phi_L: X \dashrightarrow |L|^*$. Then Corollary 3 gives a direct generalisation of the fact that ϕ_L is a morphism if and only if $h^0(X, L(-x)) = h^0(X, L) - 1$ for all $x \in X$, and an embedding if and only if $h^0(X, L(-x-y)) = h^0(X, L) - 2$ for all $x, y \in X$.

2.2 Osculating spaces

References for this subject include Piene [22], Piene–Tai [23] and Lanteri–Mallavibarrena–Piene [13].

Let Y be a smooth projective variety and $L \rightarrow Y$ a very ample line bundle. We denote $\phi: Y \hookrightarrow |L|^*$ the standard map to projective space defined by L .

For $y \in Y$ and $k \geq 0$, the k th osculating space to Y at y is defined as the projective subspace of $|L|^*$ spanned by the linear forms on $H^0(Y, L)^*$ defined by differential operators of order up to k at y . We denote it $\text{Osc}^k(Y, y)$. For large k , it will be equal to all of $|L|^*$ since the image of ϕ is nondegenerate in $|L|^*$.

We now recall another description of $\text{Osc}^k(Y, y)$. Consider the product $Y \times Y$ with projection $\pi_i: Y \times Y \rightarrow Y$ to the i th factor. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal of the diagonal Δ in $\mathcal{O}_{Y \times Y}$. We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y \times Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^k} \rightarrow 0$$

where the quotient is the structure sheaf of the k th infinitesimal neighbourhood of Δ . If $\mathcal{E}$ is a locally free sheaf on Y , the sheaf

$$\mathcal{P}_Y^k(\mathcal{E}) := (\pi_1)_* (\pi_2^* \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta^k})$$

is called the *sheaf of principal parts of order k* of $\mathcal{E}$.

Note: There is an ambiguity in terminology here. The sheaves

$$\underline{\text{Prin}}(E) = \underline{\text{Rat}}(E)/\mathcal{E} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_Y^k(\mathcal{E})$$

are different objects (in a sense mutually dual). During this section, the term “principal part” will be used for the latter sheaf as we derive the alternative description of the osculating spaces to Y . Thereafter we will only use it in connection with the former.

For any $y \in Y$, there is a map $a^k: H^0(Y, L) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_Y^k(L)_y$ which truncates a global section of L after order k . By choosing a system of local coordinates near y , we see that the kernel of this map consists of global sections of L which vanish to order at least k at y . Abusing notation as before, we denote this subspace $H^0(Y, L - ky)$.

Now $\text{Osc}^k(Y, y)$ coincides with the projectivised image of the dual map $(a^k)^*: \mathcal{P}_Y^k(L)_y^* \rightarrow H^0(Y, L)^*$. Therefore, we have

$$\text{Osc}^k(Y, y) = \mathbb{P}\text{Ker} \left(H^0(Y, L)^* \rightarrow H^0(Y, L - ky)^* \right). \quad (6)$$

The case where ϕ is not an embedding

In the situation we will encounter later, ϕ may not be an embedding at all y . We therefore wish to understand more generally the subspaces of $|L|^*$ defined by differential operators of order up to k . For this we will use (6). We mention some possibilities:

- ϕ might be generically an embedding but identify finitely many pairs of points. So suppose $\phi(y_1) = \phi(y_2) =: p$ for distinct $y_1, y_2 \in Y$. Then we have $H^0(Y, L - y_1) = H^0(Y, L - y_2)$. We choose analytic branches B_i of Y around y_i , upon each of which ϕ restricts to an embedding. Then, for each i ,

$$\mathbb{P}\text{Ker} \left(H^0(Y, L)^* \rightarrow H^0(Y, L - ky_i)^* \right)$$

is the k th osculating space to the image of the branch B_i at p . Note that $H^0(Y, L - ky_1)$ and $H^0(Y, L - ky_2)$ will in general be different if $k \geq 2$.

- A limiting case of this is that the differential of ϕ may fail to be injective at some $y \in Y$. This means that

$$\mathbb{P}\text{Ker} \left(H^0(Y, L)^* \rightarrow H^0(Y, L - 2y)^* \right)$$

is of smaller dimension than expected. This makes sense from a geometric point of view because if the image of ϕ is singular at $\phi(y)$, then any linear space passing through this point has contact of order at least 2 with $\phi(Y)$. Thus $\phi(y)$ might be (trivially) regarded as a point of hyperosculation.

- Another possibility is that ϕ might be a finite cover of a subvariety of $|L|^*$. Let p be a smooth point of $\phi(Y)$ which is not a ramification point, and choose an analytic branch B_i of Y around each of the preimages $y_1, \dots, y_d$ of p . The kernel of $H^0(Y, L)^* \rightarrow H^0(Y, L - ky_i)^*$ is spanned by the differential operators of order up to k at y_i .

Claim: For all $k \geq 1$ and for all i and j , we have

$$H^0(Y, L - ky_i) = H^0(Y, L - ky_j).$$

For, let s be a section of L vanishing to order k at y_i . Then the divisor (s) has multiplicity k at y_i . On B_i , this divisor is the pullback of a hyperplane in $|L|^*$ to B_i . But ϕ is a covering space near p . Therefore (possibly after shrinking the B_i), for each j there is an isomorphism $B_i \xrightarrow{\sim} B_j$ which carries $(s|_{B_i})$ into $(s|_{B_j})$, and y_i to y_j . Thus (s) has the same multiplicity at each of the y_i .

This shows that $\mathbb{P}\text{Ker}(H^0(Y, L)^* \rightarrow H^0(Y, L - ky_i)^*)$ is independent of i , so it is the k th osculating space to the common image of all the branches B_i at p .

- Finally, suppose ϕ is not defined at y . This means that every global section of L vanishes at y , so the linear functional on $H^0(Y, L)$ defined by evaluation at y is zero.

Blowing up Y at y has the effect of replacing y by the projectivised tangent space of Y at y , whose elements are first-order derivations at y , up to scalar multiple. If ϕ can be resolved by a single blowup, then all these derivations define nonzero linear functionals on $H^0(Y, L)$ and, almost by definition, the image of the exceptional divisor belongs to the “embedded tangent space”

$$\mathbb{P}\text{Ker}(H^0(Y, L)^* \rightarrow H^0(Y, L - 2y)^*).$$

On the other hand, if further blowings up are required (for example, if every divisor in $|L|$ not only passes through y but has the same tangent space at y) then the images of these will belong to the “higher osculating spaces” $\mathbb{P}\text{Ker}(H^0(Y, L)^* \rightarrow H^0(Y, L - ky)^*)$ for higher values of k .

3 Liftings and geometry

We now turn our attention back to extensions of vector bundles over the curve X .

3.1 Subsheaves of $\mathcal{F}$

Let $\gamma: G \rightarrow F$ be a vector bundle map which is a sheaf injection. Then γ factorises as $G \rightarrow \overline{G} \rightarrow F$ where $\overline{G}$ is the vector subbundle of F generated by $\mathcal{G}$, so G is an elementary transformation

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rightarrow 0$$

for some skyscraper sheaf $\mathcal{T}$ on X . We now define some subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{Hom}(F, E)$. Write $\overline{\gamma}$ for the vector bundle injection $\overline{G} \rightarrow F$. The restriction map $\overline{\gamma}^*: \mathrm{Hom}(F, E) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\overline{G}, E)$ has constant rank, so its kernel is a vector subbundle $K(\overline{\gamma})$ of $\mathrm{Hom}(F, E)$. This defines a projective subbundle of $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{Hom}(F, E)$, which is empty if and only if $\overline{G} = F$, that is, G is an elementary transformation of F .

Next, at all points of X apart from the finite set $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathcal{T})$, the sheaves $\mathcal{G}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ coincide. Let $x_1, \dots, x_t$ be the distinct points of the support of $\mathcal{T}$. At each x_i , we have a filtration

$$0 \subseteq M_0^{(i)} \subset M_1^{(i)} \subset \dots \subset M_{m_i}^{(i)} \subset \overline{G}|_{x_i}$$

of $\overline{G}|_{x_i}$ and a sequence of nonnegative integers $k_0^{(i)}, \dots, k_{m_i}^{(i)}$ with the following property:

$\mathcal{G}$ is the subsheaf of sections of $\overline{G}$ with values to order at least $k_j^{(i)}$ in the subspace $M_j^{(i)}$, for each $j = 1, \dots, m_i$, and the $k_j^{(i)}$ are maximal with respect to this property.

Precisely, let z be a local coordinate centred at x_i . Then if

$$g = g_0 + zg_1 + z^2g_2 + \dots$$

is a section of $\overline{G}$ near x_i , then $g \in \mathcal{G}$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} g_0, \dots, g_{k_0^{(i)}} &\in M_0^{(i)}, \\ g_{k_0^{(i)}+1}, \dots, g_{k_1^{(i)}} &\in M_1^{(i)} \\ &\vdots \\ g_{k_{(m_i-1)}^{(i)}+1}, \dots, g_{k_{m_i}^{(i)}} &\in M_{m_i}^{(i)} \end{aligned}$$

and thereafter the coefficients of g belong simply to $\overline{G}|_{x_i}$.

The spaces $M_j^{(i)}$ in turn determine a filtration of the fibre of $\mathrm{Hom}(F, E)$ at x_i as follows. Define

$$N_j^{(i)} := \mathrm{Ker} \left(\mathrm{Hom}(F, E)|_{x_i} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(M_j^{(i)}, E|_{x_i}) \right).$$

Then we have a filtration

$$\mathrm{Hom}(F, E)|_{x_i} \supseteq N_0^{(i)} \supset N_1^{(i)} \supset \cdots \supset N_{m_i}^{(i)} \supset 0$$

of the fibre of $\mathrm{Hom}(F, E)$ at each of the x_i .

Examples

A convenient way to define elementary transformations is to use principal parts with values in $\overline{G}^*$. For example, let x_1 and x_2 be distinct points of X with local coordinates z_1 and z_2 respectively. Choose linear forms f and g on the fibres $\overline{G}|_{x_1}$ and $\overline{G}|_{x_2}$. Then:

1. The kernel of the $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module map $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Prin}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ defined by

$$\frac{f}{z_1^{k_0^{(1)}}} + \frac{g}{z_2^{k_0^{(2)}}}$$

is the subsheaf of sections of $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ with values to order at least $k_0^{(i)}$ in the subspaces $M_0^{(1)} = \mathrm{Ker}(f)$ and $M_0^{(2)} = \mathrm{Ker}(g)$.

2. Suppose $\mathrm{rk}(\overline{G}) \geq 2$ and let f and g be linearly independent in $\overline{G}|_{x_1}$. The kernel of

$$\left(\frac{f}{z_1^k} + \frac{g}{z_1} \right) : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Prin}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

is the subsheaf of sections with values in the codimension 2 subspace

$$\mathrm{Ker}(f) \cap \mathrm{Ker}(g) = M_0^{(1)}$$

to order at least 0, and furthermore in the hyperplane $\mathrm{Ker}(f) = M_1^{(1)}$ to order at least k .

3.2 More on osculating spaces

Now we return to the geometry of projective bundles. Suppose $V \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle such that the map $\psi: \mathbb{P}V \dashrightarrow |\Upsilon|^*$ is an embedding. Let $\langle v \rangle$ be a point of $\mathbb{P}V$ and z an analytic coordinate on X centred at $\pi\langle v \rangle =: x$. For any $m \geq 1$, let $\mathrm{Diff}^k(\mathbb{P}V, \langle v \rangle)$ denote the vector

space of differential operators on $\mathbb{P}V$ at $\langle v \rangle$ of degree at most k (with constant coefficients). Now we notice that the image of this space in $H^0(\mathbb{P}V, \Upsilon)^*$ is spanned by operators of the following two types:

$$D \frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial z^{m-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^m}{\partial z^m}, \quad m = 1, \dots, k \quad (7)$$

where D is a tangent vector to the fibre $\mathbb{P}V|_x$. This is because sections of Υ are linear in all coordinates at $\langle v \rangle$ except z . See Lanteri–Mallavibarrena–Piene [13] for more information on this situation.

Next, recall that the *vertical tangent bundle* $T_{\mathbb{P}V/X}$ of $\mathbb{P}V$ over X is the kernel of $d\pi: T_{\mathbb{P}V} \rightarrow T_X$. In a similar way, for any $k \geq 1$ there is a surjective map $\text{Diff}^k(\mathbb{P}V, \langle v \rangle) \rightarrow \text{Diff}^k(X, x)$ defined in the same way as $d\pi|_{\langle v \rangle}$. We denote its kernel $\text{Diff}^k(\mathbb{P}V/X, \langle v \rangle)$. By analogy with the $k = 1$ case, we have:

Definition: The image of $\text{Diff}^k(\mathbb{P}V/X, \langle v \rangle)$ in $|\Upsilon|^*$ will be called the *k th vertical osculating space to $\mathbb{P}V$ at $\langle v \rangle$* . We will denote it $\text{Osc}^k(\mathbb{P}V/X, \langle v \rangle)$.

We define some further subspaces of $\text{Diff}^k(\mathbb{P}V, \langle v \rangle)$:

Definition: Let Λ be a nonzero linear subspace of $V|_x$ containing v . We will say that a differential operator of order at most k is of *type $(\star)$ with respect to $\mathbb{P}\Lambda$* if its image in $\text{Diff}^k(X, x)$ is contained in the subspace $\text{Diff}^{k-1}(X, x)$, and if all the D in its expression in terms of the spanning set (7) belong to $T_{\mathbb{P}\Lambda}|_{\langle v \rangle} \subseteq T_{\mathbb{P}V|_x}|_{\langle v \rangle} = T_{\mathbb{P}V/X}|_{\langle v \rangle}$.

Example: Suppose $V \rightarrow X$ is a line bundle, so that $\mathbb{P}V = X$. Since here there is no vertical tangent space to $\mathbb{P}V$, for any $x \in X$ the subspace of $\text{Osc}^k(X, x)$ defined by the operators of type $(\star)$ with respect to $\mathbb{P}\Lambda = x$ is simply $\text{Osc}^{k-1}(X, x)$.

3.3 Liftings and geometry

Now we come to the main result of this section, which generalises an idea in Lange–Narasimhan [12], Prop. 1.1. As before, for a vector bundle $V \rightarrow X$, write Υ for the line bundle $\pi^*K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V}(1)$ over $\mathbb{P}V$. Let E and F be vector bundles over X .

For the moment, we will assume that E and F are such that the map

$$\psi: \mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^1(X, \text{Hom}(F, E)) = |\Upsilon|^*$$

defined in §2 is an embedding². For example, this is satisfied if E and F are stable and $\mu(F) > \mu(E) + 2$.

Now let $\gamma: G \rightarrow F$ be a generically injective vector bundle map. We will write $N(\gamma)$ for the union of the following subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}H^1(X, \text{Hom}(F, E))$:

- The image by ψ of the projective subbundle

$$\mathbb{P}K(\bar{\gamma}) = \mathbb{P}\text{Ker}(\bar{\gamma}^*: \text{Hom}(F, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\bar{G}, E))$$

of $\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E)$.

- The union over all $i = 1, \dots, t$, all $j = 1, \dots, m_i$ and all points $\langle \phi \rangle \in \mathbb{P}N_j^{(i)}$ of the subspaces of

$$\text{Osc}_j^{k_j^{(i)}+1}(\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E), \langle \phi \rangle)$$

defined by the operators of order up to $k_j^{(i)} + 1$ of type $(\star)$ with respect to $\mathbb{P}N_j^{(i)}$.

Theorem 4 (*Geometric criterion for lifting*) *Let E, F, G and γ be as above, and let W be a nontrivial extension of F by E . Then γ factorises via W if and only if the class $\langle \delta(W) \rangle$ belongs to the projective linear span of the locus $N(\gamma)$ in $\mathbb{P}H^1(X, \text{Hom}(F, E))$.*

Proof

Before getting into the details, we give the main idea of the proof. Suppose Y is a smooth variety and Z a closed subvariety of Y . Let $L \rightarrow Y$ be a line bundle with at least one section. Denote $H^0(Y, L - Z)$ the subspace of global sections of L which vanish along Z (that is, sections defining hyperplanes in $|L|^*$ which contain $\phi_L(Z)$). There is a natural exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(Y, L - Z) \rightarrow H^0(Y, L) \xrightarrow{q} H^0(Z, L|_Z) \rightarrow \dots$$

We consider also the standard map $\phi_{(L|_Z)}: Z \dashrightarrow |(L|_Z)|^*$, which is related to $(\phi_L)|_Z$ as follows. Write Π for the image of q in $H^0(Z, L|_Z)$. Then $(\phi_L)|_Z = \mathbb{P}q^* \circ \phi_{(L|_Z)}$. We deduce a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Z \hookrightarrow Y & \\ \phi_{(L|_Z)} \swarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ |(L|_Z)|^* & \downarrow (\phi_L)|_Z & \downarrow \phi_L \\ & \mathbb{P}\Pi^* \hookrightarrow |L|^* & \end{array}$$

²In section 4, we will discuss what happens more generally.

Moreover, the image of Z in $\mathbb{P}\Pi^*$ is nondegenerate since that of $\phi_{(L|Z)}$ is. From this we see that the projectivised kernel of

$$H^0(Y, L)^* \rightarrow H^0(Y, L - Z)^* \quad (8)$$

is exactly the linear span of $\phi_L(Z)$ in $|L|^*$.

By Crit. 1, we need to show that the linear span of $N(\gamma)$ is equal to the projectivised kernel of the cohomology map

$$\gamma^*: H^1(X, \text{Hom}(F, E)) \rightarrow H^1(X, \text{Hom}(G, E)).$$

Our strategy will be to identify γ^ with a map similar to (8) and argue as above.*

We now study the connection between sections of $K_X \otimes V^* \rightarrow X$ and those of $\Upsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V$ in some more detail. Let V be any vector bundle and suppose Λ is a linear subspace of some fibre $V|_x$ of V . We write $\Lambda^\perp$ for the kernel of the restriction map $V^*|_x \rightarrow \Lambda^*$, that is, the space of forms vanishing on Λ .

Lemma 5 *Let V , x and Λ be as above, and suppose $k \geq 0$. Under our identification $H^0(X, K_X \otimes V^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(\mathbb{P}V, \Upsilon)$, sections of $K_X \otimes V^* \rightarrow X$ with values to order at least k in $K_X|_x \otimes \Lambda^\perp$ at x correspond exactly to sections of $\Upsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V$ which vanish on $\mathbb{P}\Lambda$ and are annihilated by operators of type $(\star)$ of order at most $k + 1$ with respect to $\mathbb{P}\Lambda$ at all points of $\mathbb{P}\Lambda$.*

Proof

Near x , a section s of Υ can be given in the form

$$dz \otimes \left(F_0 + zF_1 + \cdots + z^k F_k + z^{k+1} F_{k+1} \cdots \right)$$

where each F_i is an element of $V^*|_x$. Suppose F_i belongs to $\Lambda^\perp$ for each $i = 0, \dots, k$. (Note that this condition is independent of the choice of uniformiser z .) To begin with, s vanishes at $\langle v \rangle$ for all $v \in \Lambda$ since $F_0 \in \Lambda^\perp$. Suppose $m \geq 1$. We evaluate each of the operators in (7) on s . For convenience, we omit the “ $dz \otimes$ ” from the expression for s . Firstly,

$$D \frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial z^{m-1}}(s) = D((m-1)! \cdot F_{m-1} + \text{multiple of } z).$$

By hypothesis, D belongs to $T_{\mathbb{P}\Lambda}|_{\langle v \rangle} = \Lambda/\langle v \rangle$, which is the kernel of the natural map

$$\frac{V}{\langle v \rangle} \rightarrow \frac{V/\langle v \rangle}{\Lambda/\langle v \rangle} = \frac{V}{\Lambda}.$$

Since F_{m-1} vanishes on Λ , for each $\langle v \rangle \in \mathbb{P}\Lambda$ it defines a class in $\left(\frac{V}{\langle v \rangle}\right)^*$, and this belongs to the subspace

$$\left(\frac{V}{\Lambda}\right)^* = \left(\frac{V/\langle v \rangle}{\Lambda/\langle v \rangle}\right)^* \subseteq \left(\frac{V}{\langle v \rangle}\right)^*.$$

Therefore, $D(F_{m-1}) = 0$ and the operators $D \frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial z^{m-1}}$ vanish on s for all $\langle v \rangle \in \mathbb{P}\Lambda$ and $m-1 = 0, \dots, k$, that is, $m = 1, \dots, k+1$. For the rest, we have

$$\frac{\partial^m}{\partial z^m}(s) = m! \cdot F_m + \text{multiple of } z.$$

Since $F_m \in \Lambda^\perp$ for each $m = 0, \dots, k$, this is zero for all $\langle v \rangle \in \mathbb{P}\Lambda$. This proves one direction.

Conversely, suppose s vanishes on $\mathbb{P}\Lambda$ and is annihilated by all differential operators of degree at most $k+1$ of type $(\star)$ with respect to $\mathbb{P}\Lambda$ at all points of $\mathbb{P}\Lambda$. Clearly the first condition implies that $F_0 \in \Lambda^\perp$. Consider next the quantity

$$\frac{\partial^m}{\partial z^m}(s) = m! \cdot F_m + \text{multiple of } z.$$

By hypothesis, this vanishes at all points of $\mathbb{P}\Lambda$ for $m = 1, \dots, k$. This implies F_m restricts to zero on the line $\langle v \rangle$ for all $\langle v \rangle \in \mathbb{P}\Lambda$, so $F_m \in \Lambda^\perp$ for $m = 1, \dots, k$, as required. $\square$

Remark: As a special case of Lemma 5, we note that sections of Υ vanishing along $\mathbb{P}\Lambda$ correspond to sections of $K_X \otimes V^*$ which take values (to order zero) in $K_X|_x \otimes \Lambda^\perp$ at x . In this case the subspace of $\text{Diff}^1(\mathbb{P}V, \langle v \rangle)$ of operators of order at most $k+1 = 1$ of type $(\star)$ with respect to $\mathbb{P}\Lambda$ is just

$$T_{\mathbb{P}\Lambda}|_{\langle v \rangle} \subseteq T_{\mathbb{P}V/X}|_{\langle v \rangle},$$

and it is easy to check that if s vanishes along $\mathbb{P}\Lambda$ then these operators annihilate s at all $\langle v \rangle \in \mathbb{P}\Lambda$.

Now let $V = \text{Hom}(F, E)$, and identify $\text{Hom}(E, F)$ with the dual of $\text{Hom}(F, E)$ by means of the natural bilinear pairing given by sending a pair of maps $(\sigma, \phi) \in \text{Hom}(E, F) \times \text{Hom}(F, E)$ to $\text{tr}(\sigma \circ \phi)$.

Corollary 6 *Let M be a subspace of $F|_x$ for some $x \in X$, and let N be the kernel of the induced map $\text{Hom}(F, E)|_x \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, E|_x)$. Then sections of $\Upsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E)$ which vanish on $\mathbb{P}N$ and are annihilated by operators of order at most $k+1$ of type $(\star)$ with respect to $\mathbb{P}N$ at all points of $\mathbb{P}N$ correspond to sections of $K_X \otimes F \otimes E^*$ which take values to order at least k in $K_X|_x \otimes M \otimes E^*|_x$ at x .*

Proof

By Lemma 5, we just need to show that under the trace pairing above, the orthogonal complement $N^\perp$ is exactly $M \otimes E^*|_x$. Write r_E and r_F for the ranks of E and F respectively, and suppose $\dim M = d \leq r_F$. We choose a local basis $\{f_1, \dots, f_{r_F}\}$ for F near x , whose first d elements form a basis for M at x . We then choose a local basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_{r_E}\}$ for E near x . Let $\{f_i^*\}$ and $\{e_j^*\}$ be the dual local bases of F^* and E^* . A basis of N is given by

$$\{f_m^* \otimes e_n : m = d+1, \dots, r_F, n = 1, \dots, r_E\}.$$

An element g of $\text{Hom}(E, F)|_x$, in these coordinates, has the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r_E} \sum_{j=1}^{r_F} g_{i,j} e_i^* \otimes f_j$$

where $g_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}$. The trace form is given on basis elements by

$$\text{tr}((e_i^* \otimes f_j) \circ (f_m^* \otimes e_n)) = \delta_{j,m} \delta_{i,n}$$

where $\delta_{\cdot, \cdot}$ is the Kronecker delta. Evaluating g on the basis element $f_m^* \otimes e_n$ of $\text{Hom}(F, E)$, we see that

$$\text{tr}(g \circ (f_m^* \otimes e_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^{r_E} \sum_{j=1}^{r_F} g_{i,j} \delta_{j,m} \delta_{i,n} = g_{n,m}$$

whence g , viewed as a linear form on $\text{Hom}(F, E)|_x$, vanishes on N if and only if $g_{i,j} = 0$ whenever $j \geq d+1$. This is equivalent to the image of $g: E \rightarrow F$ belonging to $\text{Span}\{f_1, \dots, f_d\} = M$, that is, $g \in M \otimes E^*|_x$. $\square$

The last technical tool is a result from linear algebra:

Proposition 7 *Let N be a finite-dimensional vector space and let $\{N_\lambda : \lambda \in I\}$ be a collection of subspaces of N indexed by a set I . Write N_0 for the intersection of all the N_λ . Then the kernel of the restriction map $N^* \rightarrow N_0^*$ coincides with the linear span of the kernels of the restriction maps $N^* \rightarrow N_\lambda^*$ for all $\lambda \in I$.*

Proof

Firstly, for each λ , notice that $\text{Ker}(N^* \rightarrow N_\lambda^*)$ is simply $N_\lambda^\perp$. Dualising the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N_\lambda^\perp \rightarrow N^* \rightarrow N_\lambda^* \rightarrow 0$, we see that $\text{Ker}(N \rightarrow (N_\lambda^\perp)^*)$ coincides with N_λ under the canonical identification $N = (N^*)^*$. We write

$$S := \text{Span}\left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in I} N_\lambda^\perp\right) \subseteq N^*.$$

Now let $v \in N$. Viewing v as a linear form on N^* , we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
v \text{ vanishes on } S &\iff v \text{ vanishes on } N_\lambda^\perp \text{ for all } \lambda \\
&\iff v \text{ belongs to } \text{Ker}(N \rightarrow N_\lambda^\perp) \text{ for all } \lambda \\
&\iff v \in N_\lambda \text{ for all } \lambda, \text{ by the first paragraph} \\
&\iff v \in N_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the subspace of N on which all forms in S vanish is precisely N_0 , so we have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N_0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow S^* \rightarrow 0$. Therefore S is precisely the kernel of the restriction map $N^* \rightarrow N_0^*$. $\square$

Now we can prove the theorem. As we said before, by Crit. 1, we need to show that the linear span of $N(\gamma)$ is equal to

$$\mathbb{P}\text{Ker}(\gamma^*: H^1(X, \text{Hom}(F, E)) \rightarrow H^1(X, \text{Hom}(G, E))) ;$$

equivalently, by Serre duality,

$$\mathbb{P}\text{Ker}(\gamma^*: H^0(X, K_X \otimes F \otimes E^*)^* \rightarrow H^0(X, K_X \otimes G \otimes E^*)^*).$$

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, m_i\}$, write $H_j^{(i)}$ for the subspace of $H^0(X, K_X \otimes F \otimes E^*)$ of sections which take values to order at least $k_j^{(i)}$ in the subspace

$$K_X|_{x_i} \otimes M_j^{(i)} \otimes E^*|_{x_i} \subseteq (K_X \otimes F \otimes E^*)|_{x_i}$$

at x_i . Now if we tensor the sequence of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rightarrow 0$$

by $\mathcal{K}_X \otimes \mathcal{E}^*$ and take cohomology, we find that $\gamma(H^0(X, K_X \otimes G \otimes E^*))$ is equal to

$$\overline{\gamma}(H^0(X, K_X \otimes \overline{G} \otimes E^*)) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i,j} H_j^{(i)} \right) \subseteq H^0(X, K_X \otimes F \otimes E^*).$$

We can describe this in another way. Note firstly that

$$\overline{\gamma}(H^0(X, K_X \otimes \overline{G} \otimes E^*)) = \bigcap_{x \in X} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{sections of } K_X \otimes F \otimes E^* \\ \text{which take values in} \\ K_X \otimes \overline{G} \otimes E^* \text{ at } x \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then by the remark after Lemma 5 and by Corollary 6 (take $M = \overline{G}|_x$ and $N = K(\overline{\gamma})|_x$), we see that the space $\overline{\gamma}(H^0(X, K_X \otimes \overline{G} \otimes E^*))$

corresponds to those sections of $\Upsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E)$ which vanish along all of $\mathbb{P}K(\bar{\gamma})$, that is, $H^0(\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E), \Upsilon - \mathbb{P}K(\bar{\gamma}))$.

Next, write $S_j^{(i)}\langle\phi\rangle$ for the subspace of $H^0(\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E), \Upsilon)$ of sections vanishing at $\langle\phi\rangle$ and annihilated by operators of order at most $k_j^{(i)} + 1$ and of type $(\star)$ with respect to $\mathbb{P}N_j^{(i)}$ at $\langle\phi\rangle$. Recall that

$$N_j^{(i)} := \text{Ker} \left(\text{Hom}(F, E)|_{x_i} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M_j^{(i)}, E|_{x_i}) \right).$$

Thus by Corollary 6, we have

$$H_j^{(i)} \cong \bigcap_{\langle\phi\rangle \in \mathbb{P}N_j^{(i)}} S_j^{(i)}\langle\phi\rangle.$$

Combining these facts, we find that $\gamma(H^0(X, K_X \otimes G \otimes E^*))$ corresponds to

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E), \Upsilon - \mathbb{P}K(\bar{\gamma})) \cap \left(\bigcap_{\substack{j=0, \dots, m_i \\ i=1, \dots, t}} \left(\bigcap_{\langle\phi\rangle \in \mathbb{P}N_j^{(i)}} S_j^{(i)}\langle\phi\rangle \right) \right). \quad (9)$$

Therefore, by Prop. 7, the kernel of

$$\gamma^*: H^0(X, K_X \otimes F \otimes E^*)^* \rightarrow H^0(X, K_X \otimes G \otimes E^*)^*$$

corresponds to the linear span of the union of

- $\text{Ker} (H^0(\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E), \Upsilon)^* \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E), \Upsilon - \mathbb{P}K(\bar{\gamma}))^*)$, and
- the union

$$\bigcup_{\substack{\langle\phi\rangle \in \mathbb{P}N_j^{(i)} \\ j=0, \dots, m_i \\ i=1, \dots, t}} \text{Ker} \left(H^0(\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E), \Upsilon)^* \rightarrow (S_j^{(i)}\langle\phi\rangle)^* \right).$$

But by the discussion at the beginning of this proof (with

$$Y = \mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E), \quad Z = \mathbb{P}K(\bar{\gamma}) \quad \text{and} \quad L = \Upsilon),$$

the projectivisation of

$$\text{Ker} (H^0(\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E), \Upsilon)^* \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E), \Upsilon - \mathbb{P}K(\bar{\gamma}))^*) \quad (10)$$

is exactly the linear span of $\mathbb{P}K(\overline{\gamma})$ in $|\Upsilon|^*$. Moreover, one checks using Prop. 7 that

$$\text{Ker} \left(H^0(\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E), \Upsilon)^* \rightarrow \left(S_j^{(i)} \langle \phi \rangle \right)^* \right) \quad (11)$$

coincides with the space of differential operators at $\langle \phi \rangle$ of order up to $k_j^{(i)} + 1$ and of type $(\star)$ with respect to $\mathbb{P}N_j^{(i)}$ (together with the form defined by evaluation at $\langle \phi \rangle$).

If we now projectivise, we find that $\mathbb{P}\text{Ker}(\gamma^*)$ is the linear span of the following subvarieties of $|\Upsilon|^*$:

- the projective subbundle $\mathbb{P}K(\overline{\gamma})$ of $\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E)$, and
- the union over all $i = 1, \dots, t$ and $j = 1, \dots, m_i$ and $\langle \phi \rangle \in \mathbb{P}N_j^{(i)}$ of the subspaces of $\text{Osc}^{k_j^{(i)}+1}(\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E), \langle \phi \rangle)$ defined by differential operators at $\langle \phi \rangle$ of order at most $k_j^{(i)} + 1$ and of type $(\star)$ with respect to $\mathbb{P}N_j^{(i)}$.

This is exactly $N(\gamma)$, so we have proven Theorem 4. $\square$

3.4 The case where G is a line bundle

If G has rank one, then we have a nicer description of the locus $N(\gamma)$ using the notion of vertical osculating space introduced in §3.2. In this case the rank of γ drops at a point if and only if γ actually vanishes there. Suppose γ vanishes at the points $x_1, \dots, x_t$ with multiplicities $m_1, \dots, m_t$ respectively. Then at each of the points $x_1, \dots, x_t$, the subspace $M_0^{(i)}$ of $F|_{x_i}$ is zero, and so $N_0^{(i)}$ is the whole fibre $\text{Hom}(F, E)|_{x_i}$.

Caution: According to the terminology we have used, γ *vanishes to order m_i at x_i* if and only if it *takes values to order $m_i - 1$ in the subspace $M_0^{(i)} = 0$ at x_i* .

In this situation, the locus $N(\gamma)$ becomes

- The projective subbundle $\mathbb{P}K(\overline{\gamma})$ of $\text{Hom}(F, E)$, which here is a bundle of projective spaces of dimension $\text{rk}(E)(\text{rk}(F) - 1) - 1$, when this makes sense, and
- The union over $i = 1, \dots, t$ and all $\langle \phi \rangle \in \mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E)|_{x_i}$ of

$$\text{Osc}^{m_i-1}(\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E), \langle \phi \rangle) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Osc}^{m_i}(\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E)/X, \langle \phi \rangle).$$

In §5, we will see a real-life example of this situation.

In general, ψ may fail to be an embedding. However, we can still interpret the spaces (10) and (11) as in §2.2 if this failure is not too bad. In the next section we give some discussion which will show why we expect Theorem 4 to be true, and will allow us to interpret the failure of ψ to be an embedding in terms of the behaviour of the extensions.

4 The connection with principal parts

Here we describe further the connection of principal parts with the situation of Theorem 4. We begin by recalling a few technical results.

Notation: Write $K(X)$ for the function field of X . Then

$$\text{Rat}(W) = \text{Rat}(E) \oplus \text{Rat}(F)$$

as $K(X)$ -vector spaces. For any $K(X)$ -vector space Λ , we write $\underline{\Lambda}$ for the constant sheaf on X associated to Λ , by analogy with Hartshorne [4], p. 69. If β is a $K(X)$ -linear map $\text{Rat}(F) \rightarrow \text{Rat}(E)$, then we write Γ_β for the graph of β , which is a $K(X)$ -vector subspace of the direct sum $\text{Rat}(E) \oplus \text{Rat}(F)$.

4.1 Principal parts and extensions

Lemma 8 *Let $0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow W \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$ be an extension of vector bundles over X .*

- (i) *There exists a unique principal part $p \in \text{Prin}(\text{Hom}(F, E))$ such that the sheaf of sections $\mathcal{W}$ is of the form*

$$\mathcal{W}_p := \{(e, f) \in \underline{\text{Rat}}(E) \oplus \underline{\text{Rat}}(F) : f \text{ is regular and } \bar{e} = p(f)\}. \quad (12)$$

- (ii) *Two principal parts define isomorphic extensions if and only if they differ by the principal part $\bar{\beta}$ of some $\beta \in \text{Rat}(\text{Hom}(F, E))$*
- (iii) *The cohomology class $\delta(\mathcal{W}_p)$ is equal to $[p]$.*
- (iv) *The coboundary map in the long exact cohomology sequence of $0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_p \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$ is given by cup product with $[p]$.*

Proof

The whole lemma is proven in Kempf [9], Chap. 6 when $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are invertible, and the same arguments work for parts (ii)–(iv) in the case of arbitrary rank. Part (i) is proven in [5], Lemma 3.1 for bundles of arbitrary rank.

Theorem 9 *Let W be an extension of F by E , with class $\delta(W) = [p]$ for some $p \in \text{Prin}(\text{Hom}(F, E))$. Then there is a bijection between $\text{Hom}_{K(X)}(\text{Rat}(F), \text{Rat}(E))$ and*

$$\{\text{rank } r_F \text{ vector subbundles } G \subset W \text{ with} \\ G|_x \cap E|_x = 0 \text{ for generic } x \in X\}$$

given by $\beta \leftrightarrow \overline{\Gamma_\beta} \cap \mathcal{W}_p =: \mathcal{G}_\beta$. Moreover, $\mathcal{G}_\beta$ is isomorphic to the kernel of $(p - \overline{\beta}) : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Prin}}(E)$.

Proof

See [6], Theorem 4 (i).

Remark: This implies in particular that if p is any principal part defining the extension class $\delta(W)$ (see (2)), then

$$\underline{\Gamma}_0 \cap \mathcal{W}_p \cong \text{Ker}(p : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Prin}}(E))$$

lifts to a vector subbundle of W . (Note that if $h^0(X, \text{Hom}(F, E)) \neq 0$ then there may be many maps $\text{Ker}(p) \rightarrow W$.)

More generally, we have

Lemma 10 *Let $0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow W \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$ be an extension of vector bundles over X with class $\delta(W) = [p]$, and let $\gamma : G \rightarrow F$ be a vector bundle map which is a sheaf injection. Then γ factorises via W if and only if $\delta(W)$ can be represented by*

$$q \in \text{Prin}(\text{Hom}(F, E)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \underline{\text{Prin}}(E))$$

which is actually regular on $\gamma(\mathcal{G})$.

Proof

Suppose that the map $\gamma : G \rightarrow F$ factorises via W . This means that there is a subsheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ of $\mathcal{W}$ which projects surjectively to $\gamma(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. Consider the sheaf

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \underline{\text{Rat}}(\mathcal{O}_X) \subset \underline{\text{Rat}}(W).$$

The global sections of this sheaf form a $K(X)$ -vector subspace Π of $\text{Rat}(W) = \text{Rat}(E) \oplus \text{Rat}(F)$ which has dimension equal to the rank of G and which intersects $\text{Rat}(E)$ trivially.

Claim: There exists at least one $K(X)$ -vector subspace Γ of $\text{Rat}(W)$ containing Π , intersecting $\text{Rat}(E)$ in zero and of dimension equal to the rank of F .

Proof: If $\dim_{K(X)} \Pi = \text{rk}(F)$ then just take $\Gamma = \Pi$. On the other hand, if $\dim_{K(X)} \Pi < \text{rk}(F)$ then the projection of Π to $\text{Rat}(F)$ is not surjective. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ be elements of $\text{Rat}(F)$ which do not belong to the image of this projection and which, together with this image, span $\text{Rat}(F)$. Then the subspace of $\text{Rat}(W) = \text{Rat}(E) \oplus \text{Rat}(F)$ spanned by Π and $(0, \lambda_1), \dots, (0, \lambda_d)$ satisfies the requirements.

Now it is not hard to check that $\Gamma = \Gamma_\beta$ for some $K(X)$ -linear map $\beta: \text{Rat}(F) \rightarrow \text{Rat}(E)$. Then $\Gamma_\beta \cap \mathcal{W}$ is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{W}$ containing $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. By Theorem 9, the sheaf $\Gamma_\beta \cap \mathcal{W}$ is isomorphic to

$$\text{Ker}(p - \bar{\beta}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Prin}}(E)).$$

In particular, $p - \bar{\beta}$ is regular on $\gamma(\mathcal{G})$. By (2), this principal part also defines $\delta(W)$.

Conversely, suppose $\delta(W)$ can be defined by a principal part q which is regular on $\gamma(\mathcal{G})$. This is equivalent to saying that $\gamma(\mathcal{G})$ belongs to $\text{Ker}(q: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Prin}}(E))$. Now by the remark after Theorem 9, $\text{Ker}(q)$ lifts to a vector subbundle of W . In particular, $\mathcal{G}$ lifts to a subsheaf of $\mathcal{W}$. $\square$

4.2 Motivation for Theorem 4

Suppose for simplicity that γ is actually a vector bundle injection. This means that $\bar{G} = G$ and $\bar{\gamma} = \gamma$, and $N(\gamma)$ reduces to the image of

$$\mathbb{P}K(\gamma) = \mathbb{P}\text{Ker}(\gamma^*: \text{Hom}(F, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, E))$$

by ψ . Let W be an extension of F by E whose class belongs to the linear span of $N(\gamma)$. By the definition of ψ , the class $\delta(W)$ can be represented by a principal part q which is a sum of principal parts $q_1 + \dots + q_t$ where each q_i is supported at one point x_i with a simple pole along some $\phi \in \text{Hom}(F, E)|_{x_i}$ such that $\phi(\gamma(G|_{x_i})) = 0$. Clearly q is regular on the subsheaf $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ so, by Lemma 10, the sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ lifts to a subsheaf of $\mathcal{W}$.

When γ is not a vector bundle injection, the calculations with this approach become much more complicated (see [7], Lemma 14 for an example), but we believe Lemma 10 and this example give further insight into why the lifting of subsheaves of $\mathcal{F}$ is so closely connected with the geometry of the image of ψ .

4.3 If ψ is not an embedding

Here we mention three ways in which ψ can fail to be an embedding, and say what this means in terms of extensions. We refer to Lange–Narasimhan [12], especially sections 2 and 3, for related discussion. Our main tool is:

Observation: Let W be an extension of F by E . By the definition of ψ , Theorem 9 and the remark after it, $\delta(W)$ lies over $\psi\langle\phi\rangle$ for some $\langle\phi\rangle \in \mathbb{P}\mathrm{Hom}(F, E)|_x$ if and only if W contains a vector subbundle G lifting from an elementary transformation of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{p} T \rightarrow 0$$

where $p \in \mathrm{Prin}(\mathrm{Hom}(F, E))$ is supported at x with only a simple pole along ϕ . Note that T is of the form $\mathbb{C}_x^{\oplus n}$, where $1 \leq n \leq \mathrm{rk}(E)$.

Now let x_1 and x_2 be distinct points of X , and let $\langle\phi_1\rangle$ and $\langle\phi_2\rangle$ be points of $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{Hom}(F, E)|_{x_1}$ and $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{Hom}(F, E)|_{x_2}$ respectively. For $i = 1, 2$ let p_i be a $\mathrm{Hom}(F, E)$ -valued principal part supported at x_i with a simple pole along ϕ_i .

(i) Suppose ψ is not defined at $\langle\phi_1\rangle$. (This implies that ψ is not injective on the fibre $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{Hom}(F, E)|_{x_1}$.) This means that $[p_1] = 0$. By the observation, an extension W to which the elementary transformation $\mathrm{Ker}(p_1)$ lifts must be a trivial extension.

(ii) Next, suppose $\psi\langle\phi_1\rangle = \psi\langle\phi_2\rangle$. By the observation, if ψ is generically injective then most extensions whose classes belong to the image of ψ will have just one subbundle of the form $\mathrm{Ker}(p)$ described in the observation³. If $\delta(W) = [p_1] = [p_2]$ then W has two subbundles of this form, reflecting the geometric fact that $\psi\langle\phi_1\rangle = \psi\langle\phi_2\rangle$ is a double point of the image of ψ .

(iii) Suppose the differential of ψ fails to be injective at $\langle\phi_1\rangle$. By the proof of Corollary 3, there exists a principal part q with a double pole along ϕ_1 , such that $[q] = [p_1]$. (This q may also have simple poles in other directions.) By Lemma 10, an extension W of class $[q]$ contains a vector subbundle lifting from $\mathrm{Ker}(q)$. Then since $[q] = [p_1]$, by the remark after Theorem 9 the subsheaf of sections of F with values in $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi_1)$ at x , as described above, also lifts to a subbundle of W . This is a stronger statement, since $\mathrm{Ker}(q)$ is strictly contained in $\mathrm{Ker}(p_1)$.

³Note, however, that if $h^0(X, \mathrm{Hom}(F, E)) \neq 0$ then there may be many maps from $\mathrm{Ker}(p_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$.

Remark: Given any map $\gamma: G \rightarrow F$, not necessarily a sheaf injection, we can give a geometric interpretation of the cohomology map $\gamma^*: H^1(X, \text{Hom}(F, E)) \rightarrow H^1(X, \text{Hom}(G, E))$ as follows. Let δ be a class in $H^1(X, \text{Hom}(F, E))$, and suppose $p \in \text{Prin}(\text{Hom}(F, E))$ is a principal part representing δ . Then $\gamma^*\delta$ is represented by $\gamma^*p \in \text{Prin}(\text{Hom}(G, E))$. By the definition of ψ and ψ' , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}\gamma^*} & \mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(G, E) \\ \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi' \\ \mathbb{P}H^1(X, \text{Hom}(F, E)) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}\gamma^*} & \mathbb{P}H^1(X, \text{Hom}(G, E)) \end{array}$$

(here we write $\mathbb{P}\gamma^*$ both for the rational map of projective bundles and the projectivisation of the cohomology map it induces). Since the image of ψ is nondegenerate, the cohomology map γ^* is determined up to scalar by its restriction to the image of ψ .

5 Generalised theta divisors

In this section we use Theorem 4 to generalise two well-known results on line bundles over curves to bundles of higher rank. Let X be a curve of genus $g \geq 3$. We assume for simplicity that X is nonhyperelliptic, so that $\phi_{K_X}: X \hookrightarrow |K_X|^*$ is an embedding. We denote J^{g-1} the Jacobian variety parametrising line bundles of degree $g-1$ over X , and we write Θ for the natural divisor on J^{g-1} whose support consists of bundles with sections.

Let $L \rightarrow X$ be a line bundle of degree $g-1$ with $h^0(X, L) = n \geq 1$. Recall the *geometric Riemann–Roch theorem*:

Theorem 11 *Let D be any divisor in $|L|$, and write d for the dimension of the projective linear span of the points of D on the canonical curve $\phi_{K_X}(X) \subset |K_X|^*$. Then*

$$h^0(X, L) = g - 1 - d = \text{codim}(\text{Span}(\phi_{K_X}(D)), |K_X|^*).$$

Proof

See Griffiths–Harris [3], chapter 2.

Furthermore, by the Riemann singularity theorem, L is a point of multiplicity n in Θ . Recall that the projectivised tangent space to J^{g-1} at L is isomorphic to $|K_X|^*$. The *Riemann–Kempf singularity theorem* gives us in particular a geometric description of the projectivised tangent cone to Θ at L :

Theorem 12 *The projectivised tangent cone to Θ at the point L is the union of the projective $(g - 1 - n)$ -planes spanned by the images by ϕ_{K_X} of all the effective divisors in $|L|$.*

Proof

See Griffiths–Harris [3], chapter 2. (In fact the theorem applies to the loci of bundles with sections in each of the Jacobian varieties J^d , for $0 \leq d \leq g - 1$.)

We would like to generalise these results to bundles of rank r and degree $r(g - 1)$.

5.1 A generalisation of the Riemann–Kempf singularity theorem

We begin by describing the objects which will replace J^{g-1} and Θ . We recall some facts from Laszlo [14]:

Let $\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{U}(r, r(g - 1))$ be the moduli space of semistable vector bundles of rank r and degree $r(g - 1)$. This is a projective irreducible normal variety of dimension $r^2(g - 1) + 1$. It has a distinguished divisor Δ , whose support consists of bundles admitting at least one independent section; this coincides with Θ if $r = 1$. Let $E \in \mathcal{U}$ be a stable vector bundle of rank r and degree $r(g - 1)$. As in [14] (see also Narasimhan–Ramanan [17] and Pauly [21]), we can find an étale affine neighbourhood $S = \text{Spec}(A)$ of E in $\mathcal{U}$ and a family of stable vector bundles $\mathbf{E}$ over $S \times X$ such that for each $F \in S$, we have $\mathbf{E}|_{\{F\} \times X} \cong F$, together with a homomorphism $\mu: M \rightarrow N$ of flat A -modules of finite type such that for all A -modules P , by functoriality,

$$H^0(S \times X, \mathbf{E} \otimes_A P) \cong \text{Ker}(\mu \otimes \text{Id}_P)$$

$$\text{and } H^1(S \times X, \mathbf{E} \otimes_A P) \cong \text{Coker}(\mu \otimes \text{Id}_P).$$

Moreover, shrinking S , we can suppose that M and N are free A -modules and $\mu|_E$ is the zero homomorphism. The divisor $\Delta|_S$ is given by the vanishing of $\det(\mu)$. Laszlo has given the following generalisation of the Riemann singularity theorem:

Theorem 13 *The multiplicity of Δ at E is equal to $h^0(X, E)$.*

Proof

See Laszlo [14], Théorème II.10.

Now we suppose that $h^0(X, E) =: n \geq 1$, so $E \in \text{Supp}(\Delta)$. Let s be a nonzero section of E . We regard s as a vector bundle map $O_X \rightarrow E$. Clearly it is a sheaf injection. We define the locus $N(s)$ in $\mathbb{P}H^1(X, \text{End}(E))$ as in §3. Note that this is an example of the special case treated in §3.4.

The map s factorises $O_X \rightarrow \overline{O_X} \rightarrow E$ where $\overline{O_X}$ is the vector subbundle of E generated by $s(O_X)$. Since O_X has rank 1, the bundle $\overline{O_X}$ must be of the form $O_X(D)$ for some divisor

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^t k^{(i)} x_i$$

on X . (If $\text{rk}(E) \geq 2$ then we expect D to be zero for most s .) The saturated map $\overline{s}: O_X(D) \rightarrow E$ is a vector bundle injection, so the restriction map

$$\overline{s}^*: \text{End}(E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(O_X(D), E) = E(-D)$$

has a kernel which is a vector subbundle $K(\overline{s})$ of $\text{End}(E)$, of rank $r(r-1)$. At each x_i , the subspace $M_0^{(i)} \subset E|_{x_i}$ is zero and $N_0^{(i)} = \text{End}(E)|_{x_i}$. If ψ is an embedding, then by the discussion in §3.4, the locus $N(s)$ is the union of the following subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}H^1(X, \text{End}(E))$:

- the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}K(\overline{s})$, and
- the union over all $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ and $\langle \phi \rangle \in \mathbb{P}\text{End}(E)|_{x_i}$ of $\text{Osc}^{k^{(i)}-1}(\mathbb{P}\text{End}(E), \langle \phi \rangle)$ and $\text{Osc}^{k^{(i)}}(\mathbb{P}\text{End}(E)/X, \langle \phi \rangle)$.

If ψ does not fail too badly to be an embedding, we describe the degeneration of this locus geometrically as in §2.2.

Now recall that the tangent space $T_E \mathcal{U}$ to $\mathcal{U}$ at a smooth point E is isomorphic to $H^1(X, \text{End}(E))$.

Theorem 14 (*Generalised Riemann–Kempf singularity theorem*) *Let $E \in \mathcal{U}$ be a stable bundle with $h^0(X, E) = n \geq 1$. The projectivised tangent cone to Δ at E is, set-theoretically, the union of the projective linear spans of the varieties*

$$N(s) \subset \mathbb{P}H^1(X, \text{End}(E)) = \mathbb{P}T_E \mathcal{U}$$

over all sections s of E .

Proof

Let A , $S = \text{Spec}(A)$, M and N be as above and write m for the maximal ideal of the point E in A . By Narasimhan–Seshadri [19],

Lemma 2.1 (ii), near E the variety $\mathcal{U}$ looks like an analytic open set in $H^1(X, \text{End}(E))$. Thus we have flat structures on $\mathcal{U}$ at E to all orders (see Kempf [10]).

By Theorem 13, the function $\det(\mu)$ belongs to $m^n \setminus m^{n+1}$. Therefore, the tangent cone in which we are interested is defined by

$$\det(\mu) \bmod m^{n+1},$$

where we regard this as a function on $T_E \mathcal{U}$ via the flat structure. We then notice, following Laszlo [14], section II, that

$$\det(\mu) \bmod m^{n+1} = \det(\mu \bmod m^2) \bmod m^{n+1}$$

so in fact we can just study $\mu \bmod m^2$. As in [14], we will interpret this in terms of cup products.

Since $\mu|_E$ is zero, $\mu \bmod m^2$ is a matrix of elements of m/m^2 . Therefore, we can contract it with an element v of

$$(m/m^2)^* = T_E S \cong T_E \mathcal{U} \cong H^1(X, \text{End}(E))$$

to obtain a matrix of scalars $(\mu \bmod m^2)(v)$. By [14], Lemme II.5, $(\mu \bmod m^2)(v)$ can be identified with the cup product map

$$\cdot \cup v : H^0(X, E) \rightarrow H^1(X, E).$$

Therefore $\mathbb{P}\text{Cone}(\Delta, E)$ coincides with

$$\mathbb{P}\{v \in H^1(X, \text{End}(E)) : \det(\cdot \cup v) = 0\},$$

in other words, the image of the set of v such that the linear map $\cdot \cup v$ has a kernel.

Now we use the link with extensions. The space $H^1(X, \text{End}(E))$ parametrises extensions $0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_v \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma 8 (iv), the coboundary map in the cohomology sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, E) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathbb{E}_v) \rightarrow H^0(X, E) \rightarrow H^1(X, E) \rightarrow \dots$$

is none other than cup product by v . But this shows that $\cdot \cup v$ has a kernel if and only if a nonzero section of E lifts to the extension $\mathbb{E}_v$. Thus, we have another, set-theoretic, description of the tangent cone as *the set of v defining extensions $\mathbb{E}_v$ to which at least one nonzero section of E lifts*. We may rephrase this as

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\bigcup_{s \in H^0(X, E)} \{v \in H^1(X, \text{End}(E)) : s \text{ lifts to the extension } \mathbb{E}_v\} \right).$$

But by Theorem 4, for each $s \in H^0(X, E)$, the locus

$$\mathbb{P} \{v \in H^1(X, \text{End}(E)) : s \text{ lifts to the extension } \mathbb{E}_v\}$$

coincides with the linear span of $N(s)$. Thus $\mathbb{P}\text{Cone}(\Delta, E)$ is the union of the linear spans of all the $N(s)$. $\square$

Remark: Our first description of the tangent cone can also be explained in terms of deformations of E (compare with Mukai [15], proof of Prop. 2.6). Recall that a tangent vector to S at E is a morphism $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]) \rightarrow S$ which sends the closed point (ε) to E . Such a morphism is determined by a ring homomorphism $\nu: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]$ satisfying $\nu^{-1}(\varepsilon) = m$. We then obtain a deformation of E by pulling back the family $\mathbf{E}$ to $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon])$. In particular, we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_A (\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_A \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_A \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0,$$

which naturally yields an extension of E by E . With this interpretation, one expects the tangent cone to Δ at E to correspond to deformations of E which have sections that are not zero modulo ε , that is, lifting from the quotient copy of E . But these are exactly those defined by classes v such that cup product by v has a kernel.

5.2 A generalisation of the geometric Riemann–Roch theorem

Next, we use these ideas to give a generalisation of the geometric Riemann–Roch theorem to bundles of higher rank.

Theorem 15 *Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle of rank r and degree $r(g-1)$ with $h^0(X, E) \geq 1$. Then we have*

$$h^0(X, E) = \text{codim}(\text{Span}(N(s)), \mathbb{P}H^1(X, \text{End}(E)))$$

for any nonzero section s of E .

Proof

For any nonzero section $s: \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow E$, the induced map

$$s^*: H^1(X, \text{End}(E)) \rightarrow H^1(X, E)$$

is surjective, for example since it is Serre dual to the inclusion

$$H^0(X, K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \otimes E^*) \hookrightarrow H^0(X, K_X \otimes E \otimes E^*).$$

Therefore $\dim(\text{Ker}(s^*)) = h^1(X, \text{End}(E)) - h^1(X, E)$. Thus

$$\dim(\text{Span}(N(s))) = h^1(X, \text{End}(E)) - h^1(X, E) - 1$$

by Theorem 4. Since $\chi(E) = 0$, we have $h^1(X, E) = h^0(X, E)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(X, E) &= (h^1(X, \text{End}(E)) - 1) - \dim \text{Span}(N(s)) \\ &= \text{codim}(\text{Span}(N(s)), \mathbb{P}H^1(X, \text{End}(E))), \end{aligned}$$

as required. $\square$

Remark: Theorem 15 is true even if E is not semistable.

5.3 The line bundle case

Suppose X is nonhyperelliptic and let $L \rightarrow X$ be a line bundle of degree $g - 1$. Then $\text{End}(L) = O_X$, the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}\text{End}(L)$ is simply X and $\psi = \phi_{K_X}$ is an embedding. Suppose $h^0(X, L) = n \geq 1$, and let s be a nonzero section of L with divisor of zeroes $\sum_{i=1}^t m^{(i)} x_i$. Then in fact $\overline{O_X} = L$ and the kernel $K(\overline{s})$ of the restriction map

$$\overline{s}^*: \text{End}(L) = O_X \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\overline{O_X}, L) = O_X$$

is zero. Thus $\mathbb{P}K(\overline{s})$ disappears. Moreover, since $\pi: \mathbb{P}\text{End}(L) \rightarrow X$ is the identity map, the vertical tangent bundle is zero, so the vertical osculating spaces $\text{Osc}^k(\mathbb{P}\text{End}(L)/X, \langle \phi \rangle)$ vanish. Thus, by the discussion in §3.4, the locus $N(s)$ consists of

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^t \text{Osc}^{m^{(i)}-1}(\phi_{K_X}(X), \phi_{K_X}(x_i)),$$

which is the span of the divisor (s) in the usual sense.

With these observations, we recover the Riemann–Kempf singularity theorem and the geometric Riemann–Roch theorem for line bundles of degree $g - 1$ from Theorems 14 and 15.

6 Future work

The map $\psi: \mathbb{P}\text{End}(E) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^1(X, \text{End}(E))$

One important open question is whether ψ is still an embedding (or even a morphism) for a general bundle of rank $r \geq 2$ when X is nonhyperelliptic. If one could find a single bundle E such that this were the case, then we would have a complete locus of dimension at least g in $\mathcal{U}$ not intersecting the “bad” locus, since $\text{End}(E \otimes M) = \text{End}(E)$ for all line bundles M of degree 0. Unfortunately, up to now we have been unable to find such a bundle of rank greater than 2. This situation will be studied further in the future.

If γ is not a sheaf injection

Although the hypothesis that γ be a sheaf injection was satisfied in the situation we considered in §5, in many interesting cases it may not be. For example, given a vector bundle diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & F \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow k & & & & \uparrow l \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & H \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (13)$$

with exact rows, it would be useful to know when there is a bundle homomorphism $V \rightarrow W$ making this commutative. It can be shown that this is equivalent to

$$k_*\delta(V) = l^*\delta(W) \in H^1(X, \text{Hom}(H, E)).$$

One would expect there to be a geometric criterion for this, generalising the one in Theorem 4, perhaps given in terms of subvarieties of $\mathbb{P}(H^1(X, \text{Hom}(F, E)) \times H^1(X, \text{Hom}(H, G)))$. This will be a subject of future work.

Symplectic and orthogonal extensions

Let $E \rightarrow X$ be any vector bundle. The space $H^1(X, \text{Hom}(E^*, E))$ splits canonically as $H^1(X, \text{Sym}^2 E) \oplus H^1(X, \bigwedge^2 E)$. In [6], it is shown that the extensions in the first summand carry a natural symplectic structure, and those in the second an orthogonal one. The geometry of this situation can be viewed in another way: for example, there is an isomorphism

$$H^1(X, \text{Sym}^2 E) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(\mathbb{P}E, \pi^* K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}E}(2))^*$$

similar to that $H^1(X, V) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(\mathbb{P}\text{Hom}(F, E), \Upsilon)$ described in §2. We would like to investigate further the geometry of these special types of extensions.

References

- [1] Ballico, E.; Bocci, C.; Carlini, E.; Fontanari, C.: *Osculating spaces to secant varieties*, arXiv math.AG/0406322.
- [2] Coles, D.: *Vector bundles and codes on the Hermitian curve*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **51**, no. 6, pp. 2113–2120 (2005).
- [3] Griffiths, P.; Harris, J.: *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, John Wiley and Sons Inc., USA (1978).

- [4] Hartshorne, R.: *Algebraic Geometry* (Graduate Texts in Mathematics 52), Springer-Verlag Inc., New York (1977).
- [5] Hitching, G. H.: *Moduli of symplectic bundles over curves*, doctoral dissertation, University of Durham (2005). Some parts available at <http://www.iag.uni-hannover.de/~hitching/PhD.html>.
- [6] Hitching, G. H.: *Subbundles of symplectic and orthogonal vector bundles over curves*, arXiv AG/0407323; to appear in Math. Nachrichten.
- [7] Hitching, G. H.: *Moduli of rank 4 symplectic bundles over a curve of genus 2*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **75**, pp. 255–272 (2007).
- [8] Johnsen, T.: *Rank two bundles on algebraic curves and decoding of Goppa codes*, International J. of Pure and Applied Math., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 33–45 (2003).
- [9] Kempf, G. R.: *Abelian Integrals*, Monografías del Instituto de Matemáticas 13, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico (1983).
- [10] Kempf, G. R.: *The equations defining a curve of genus 4*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **97**, no. 2, pp. 219–225 (1986).
- [11] Kempf, G. R.; Schreyer, F.-O.: *A Torelli theorem for osculating cones to the theta divisor*, Compositio Math. **67**, no. 3, pp. 343–353 (1988).
- [12] Lange, H.; Narasimhan, M. S.: *Maximal subbundles of rank two vector bundles on curves*, Math. Ann. **266**, no. 1, pp. 55–72 (1983).
- [13] Lanteri, A.; Mallavibarrena, R.; Piene, R.: *Inflectional loci of scrolls*, arXiv AG/0612403.
- [14] Laszlo, Y.: *Un théorème de Riemann pour les diviseurs thêta sur les espaces de modules de fibrés stables sur une courbe*, Duke Math. J. **64**, no. 2, pp. 333–347 (1991).
- [15] Mukai, S.: *Vector Bundles and Brill–Noether Theory*, “Current topics in complex algebraic geometry”, MSRI series, vol. 28, papers from Special Year at MSRI, Berkeley, California, 1992/1993, pp. 145–158. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).
- [16] Narasimhan, M. S.; Ramanan, S.: *Moduli of vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, Ann. Math. (2) **89**, pp. 14–51 (1969).
- [17] Narasimhan, M. S.; Ramanan, S.: *Deformations of the moduli space of vector bundles over an algebraic curve*, Ann. Math. (2) **101**, pp. 391–417 (1975).

- [18] Narasimhan, M. S.; Ramanan, S.: *2 Θ -linear systems on Abelian varieties*, “Vector bundles on algebraic varieties” (Bombay, 1984), pp. 415–427, TIFR Stud. Math., 11, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay (1987).
- [19] Narasimhan, M. S.; Seshadri, C. S.: *Stable and unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, Ann. of Math. (2) **82**, pp. 540–567 (1965).
- [20] Pauly, C.: *Self-duality of Coble’s quartic hypersurface and applications*, Michigan Math. J. **50**, no. 3, pp. 551–574 (2002).
- [21] Pauly, C.: *On cubics and quartics through a canonical curve*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **2**, no. 4, pp. 803–822 (2003).
- [22] Piene, R.: *Numerical characters of a curve in projective n -space*, “Real and complex singularities”, Proc. Ninth Nordic Summer School/NAVF Sympos. Math., Oslo, 1976, pp. 475–495. Sijthoff and Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn (1977).
- [23] Piene, R.; Tai, H.-s.: *A characterization of balanced rational normal scrolls in terms of their osculating spaces*, “Enumerative geometry”, Sitges, 1987, pp. 215–224. Lecture Notes in Math., 1436, Springer, Berlin (1990).

Institut für Algebraische Geometrie,
 Universität Hannover,
 Welfengarten 1,
 30167 Hannover,
 Germany.
hitcking@math.uni-hannover.de